重力波観測の現状と

マルチメッセンジャーで探る重力波天体の物理

Status of Gravitational Wave Observations and Physics of GW Sources with Multi-messenger

Nobuyuki Kanda / Osaka City Univ. KAGRA collaboration 3/2/2017, Chiba University

JGW-G1706300-v2

Basics of Gravitational Wave

Gravitational Wave (GW) is

- distortion of Space-Time
- predicted by General Relativity (GR) at 1915-1916
- directly measured at 2015

Detection / Observation of GWs

- by large base-length laser interferometer
- with **multi-detectors : two LIGOs +Virgo, KAGRA** in near future
- can extract information of source's dynamical motion.

GW sources are

- massive and compact objects : neutron star (NS), black-hole (BH)
- energetic motion : compact binary coalescence (CBC), supernova (SN)
 ==> These events <u>inevitably lead to high-energy astronomical</u>
 <u>phenomena</u>. Therefore, transient GWs may have multi-messenger counterpart.
- Pulsar
- Stochastic background (cosmological origin, astronomical origin, string, etc..)
- unknown

Space-time and its wave

Gravity distorts the space-time ! *Einstein Eq.* $\frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R = -\kappa T_{\mu\nu}$

metric tensor "flat" space-time (Minkowski)

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} =$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
ct & x & y & z \\
(-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
ct \\
x \\
y \\
z
\end{array}$$

"curved (distorted)" space-time

$$g_{\mu\nu} \neq \eta_{\mu\nu}$$

small perturbation 'h' --> Waves $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}$ $\left(\nabla^2 - \frac{1}{c^2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\right) h_{\mu\nu} = 0$ No mass, No energy => Flat space-time



Gravity caused by mass => Distorted space-time



"Gravitational Wave"

GW radiation

Source

- change (time derivative) of quadrupole moment of mass distribution $I_{\mu\nu} = \int dV (x_{\mu}x_{\nu} - \frac{1}{3}\delta_{\mu\nu}r^2)\rho(\vec{r})$

Amplitude

- inversely proportional to the distance between source and observer $h = \frac{2G}{I}$

$$h_{\mu\nu} = \frac{2\alpha}{Rc^4} I_{\mu\nu}$$

Energy

- total energy is given as : $E_{GW} \sim \frac{G}{5c^5} < \ddot{I}_{\mu\nu} \ddot{I}^{\mu\nu} >$





Propagation of GW

Important characters:

- Light speed
- Transverse wave
 - Tidal force between point masses



Perturbation *h* of the metric tensor *g* :

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}$$

h is the strain of the spacetime.

$$\nabla^2 - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} h_{\mu\nu} = 0$$



Typical Sources of GW

Occasionally events :

 Compact binary coalescence (<u>CBC</u>): NS-NS, NS-BH, BH-BH

Neutron star : NS, Blackhole : BH

- <u>Supernovae</u>

BH Quasi-normal mode oscillation

– Pulsar glitch

Continuous :

Pulsar rotation
 Binary

Stochastic Background

- Early Universe
 - Cosmic string
- Unresolvable astronomical origins

(& Unknown...)









How to detect GW

Important characters:

- Light speed
- Transverse wave
 - Tidal force between





Perturbation *h* of the metric tensor *g* :

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}$$

h is the strain of the spacetime.

$$\nabla^2 - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} h_{\mu\nu} = 0$$

Fundamental of the detection



Schematics of Laser Interferometric Detector



Global Network of GW detectors



Why we need many GW detectors ?

1. Localization of GW source direction

At least, Four or three detectors are necessary. (depend on the relative direction and polarization of GW.) We will use :

- - arrival time difference
- amplitude ratio of detectors GW150914 : ~600 deg^2 Average using 4 detectors : ~5 deg^2
- 2. Sky coverage
- 3. Survey volume
- 4. Duty time for operation



Antenna Pattern (Response for source direction and polarization)



Sky coverage by detector network



Sky coverage by detector network LIGO x2 + VIRGO



90

Arrival Direction



LIGO and 1st GW observation

Laser Interferometric Gravitational-Wave Observatory



Hanford (H1:4km)



O1 (Observation 1) 2015/9/18 – 2016/1/12 1100 hours

http://www.ligo.org/



FIG. 3. Simplified diagram of an Advanced LIGO detector (not to scale). A gravitational wave propagating orthogonally to the detector plane and linearly polarized parallel to the 4-km optical cavities will have the effect of lengthening one 4-km arm and shortening the other during one half-cycle of the wave; these length changes are reversed during the other half-cycle. The output photodetector records these differential cavity length variations. While a detector's directional response is maximal for this case, it is still significant for most other angles of incidence or polarizations (gravitational waves propagate freely through the Earth). *Inset (a):* Location and orientation of the LIGO detectors at Hanford, WA (H1) and Livingston, LA (L1). *Inset (b):* The instrument noise for each detector near the time of the signal detection; this is an amplitude spectral density, expressed in terms of equivalent gravitational-wave strain



FIG. 1. The gravitational-wave event GW150914 observed by the LIGO Hanford (H1, left column panels) and Livingston (L1, right column panels) detectors. Times are shown relative to September 14, 2015 at 09:50:45 UTC. For visualization, all time series are filtered with a 35–350 Hz bandpass filter to suppress large fluctuatio Courside the detectors' most sensitive frequency band, and band-reject

BH-BH in LIGO O1

- O1 (Observational run 1) : Sep.18, 2015 - Jan. 12, 2016

GW150914, GW151226 : BBH (Binary Black Hole) mergers



Remarks : the observed GW events in LIGO 01

GW150914 GW151226 LVT150120

Event	GW150914	GW151226	LVT151012	
Signal-to-noise ratio ρ	23.7	13.0	9.7	
False alarm rate FAR/yr ⁻¹	$< 6.0 \times 10^{-7}$	$< 6.0 \times 10^{-7}$	0.37	
p-value	$7.5 imes 10^{-8}$	$7.5 imes 10^{-8}$	0.045	
Significance	$> 5.3 \sigma$	$> 5.3 \sigma$	1.7σ	
Primary mass $m_1^{\text{source}}/\text{M}_{\odot}$	$36.2^{+5.2}_{-3.8}$	$14.2^{+8.3}_{-3.7}$	23^{+18}_{-6}	
Secondary mass $m_2^{\text{source}}/\text{M}_{\odot}$	$29.1_{-4.4}^{+3.7}$	$7.5^{+2.3}_{-2.3}$	13^{+4}_{-5}	
Chirp mass $\mathscr{M}^{source}/M_{\odot}$	$28.1^{+1.8}_{-1.5}$	$8.9^{+0.3}_{-0.3}$	$15.1^{+1.4}_{-1.1}$	
Total mass $M^{ m source}/ m M_{\odot}$	$65.3^{+4.1}_{-3.4}$	$21.8^{+5.9}_{-1.7}$	37^{+13}_{-4}	
Effective inspiral spin χ_{eff}	$-0.06\substack{+0.14\\-0.14}$	$0.21\substack{+0.20 \\ -0.10}$	$0.0\substack{+0.3 \\ -0.2}$	
Final mass $M_{ m f}^{ m source}/{ m M}_{\odot}$	$62.3^{+3.7}_{-3.1}$	$20.8^{+6.1}_{-1.7}$	35^{+14}_{-4}	
Final spin $a_{\rm f}$	$0.68\substack{+0.05\\-0.06}$	$0.74\substack{+0.06\\-0.06}$	$0.66\substack{+0.09\\-0.10}$	
Radiated energy $E_{\rm rad}/({\rm M}_{\odot}c^2)$	$3.0\substack{+0.5\\-0.4}$	$1.0\substack{+0.1 \\ -0.2}$	$1.5^{+0.3}_{-0.4}$	
Peak luminosity $\ell_{\text{peak}}/(\text{erg s}^{-1})$	$3.6^{+0.5}_{-0.4}\times \\ 10^{56}$	$3.3^{+0.8}_{-1.6}\times \\ 10^{56}$	$3.1^{+0.8}_{-1.8}\times \\ 10^{56}$	
Luminosity distance $D_{\rm L}/{ m Mpc}$	420^{+150}_{-180}	440^{+180}_{-190}	1000^{+500}_{-500}	
Source redshift z	$0.09\substack{+0.03 \\ -0.04}$	$0.09\substack{+0.03 \\ -0.04}$	$0.20\substack{+0.09 \\ -0.09}$	
Sky localization $\Delta\Omega/deg^2$	230	850	1600	

arXiv:1606.04856

Note : GW150914 in [Jy]

Using the fits to numerical simulations of binary black hole mergers in [92,93], we provide estimates of the mass and spin of the final black hole, the total energy radiated in gravitational waves, and the peak gravitational-wave luminosity [39]. The estimated total energy radiated in gravitational waves is $3.0^{+0.5}_{-0.5}M_{\odot}c^2$. The system reached a peak gravitational-wave luminosity of $3.6^{+0.5}_{-0.4} \times 10^{56}$ erg/s, equivalent to $200^{+30}_{-20}M_{\odot}c^2/s$.

PRL.116.061102

energy flux : 3.6 x 10⁵⁶ erg/s (cf: total energy : ~ 3 Msolar ~ 5.4 x 10⁵⁴ erg) luminosity distance : 420 Mpc = 1.3 x 10²⁷ cm bandwidth : ~ 300Hz ~ 5.7 x 10²¹ Jy

LIGO O2 run started

https://www.ligo.caltech.edu/news/2016-11-30

from Nov.30, 2016. Scheduled as

6 months

LIGO Hanford and LIGO Livingston Observatories. Credit: Caltech/MIT/LIGO Lab.

LIGO Resumes Search for Gravitational Waves

News Release • November 30, 2016

After a series of upgrades, the twin detectors of LIGO, the Laser Interferometer Gravitational-wave Observatory, have turned back on and resumed their search for ripples in the fabric of space and time known as gravitational waves. LIGO transitioned from engineering test runs to science observations at 8 a.m. Pacific Standard Time on November 30.



Mine Work Style

- Work cloths, work gloves, work boots
- Helmets
- Head ligts
- Reflect vests
- Oxygen sensors
- Electric bicycles



- Underground
 - Kamioka mine
 - Silent and Stable
- Cryogenic mirror
 - 20K
 - Sapphire substrate
- 3km baseline

Schedule

- 2010 : Construction start
- early 2016 : 1st operation in normal temperature
- early 2018 : cryogenic operation





by T.Uchiyama

Schedule



Calendar year	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	8
Project start							1.1			
Tunnel excavation							1.			
initial-KAGRA										
				ił	KAGRA	run	1			
baseline-KAGRA		Adv	v. Optic	s syste	m and	tests	1			
				C	ryogen	ic syste	em [
Operation							i -			

iKAGRA

-We had test run at March and April 2016. **bKAGRA**

- Advanced optics and cryogenic system are in progress.

- Cryogenic operation ~end of FY2017



Tunnel excavation completed at March, 2014.



Photo: KAGRA tunnel, center corner

at July 6, 2015 (from almost same viewpoint of Oct.2014)



Pre-stabilized laser (2016.9.20)



Cryostat for input test mass (2016.9.20)



input mode cleaner suspension system (2015.10.30)



Tunnel



Drive by Electric car





(almost) end of X-arm

mid of the X-arm



Electronics hut



The electronics and computer hut inside the KAGRA tunnel



DC power supply



I/O interface



frame writer -> transfer



Fiber connection from/to the surface

Control room

Control room, surface building at Kamioka

0 Spool data system in next room

Observation Scenario

Time

Prospects for Observing and Localizing Gravitational-Wave Transients with Advanced LIGO and Advanced Virgo Living Reviews in Relativity, 19, (2016), 1 Advanced Virgo



Sensitivity -> Range



Remark : GW sources

Occasionally events :

 Compact binary coalescence (<u>CBC</u>): <u>NS-NS, NS-BH, BH-BH</u>

Neutron star : NS, Blackhole : BH

- <u>Supernovae</u>

BH Quasi-normal mode oscillation

– Pulsar glitch

Continuous :

Pulsar rotation
 Binary

Stochastic Background

- Early Universe

Cosmic string

- Unresolvable astronomical origins

(& Unknown...)







Supernova (SN)

Many process of SN possibly radiate GWs

- core bounce
- convection
- SASI
- Jet

Neutrino, GW, EM will be emitted.

- Fruitful scenario of
 'coincidence detection'
- Multi-probes make it possible to get newer knowledge and deep understand of SN mechanism.





Drawn by Y.Suwa

GW signal (example : Supernova)

Supernova (type II) will emit short duration GW (Burst wave) according to various processes in it. **Rotational Core** collapse (Bounce) Convection Proto-neutron star formation and gmode instability Standing-Accretion-Shock Instability

. . .



Dimmermeier at al.

Team SKE

SNe Theory(A05)

at Kakenhi New innovative area 「重力波天体」

Y. Suwa
Provide time correlated data, GW and neutrino
Suggest signature signals physical phenomenon



Neutrino analysis(A03)

T. Kayano, Y. Koshio M. Vagins

R&D of EGADS detector

 Signal simulations with EGADS and SK

12

GW analysis(A04)

- T. Yokozawa, M. Asano N. Kanda
- KAGRA detector simulations
- Develop/Optimize GW analysis tools
- Prepare for realtime observation



strongly rotating or not.



45

THE ASTROPHYSICAL JOURNAL, 811:86 (12pp), 2015 October 1

Yokozawa et al.

Scenario	GW Eff.(%)	Neutrino Eff.(%)	Detection Eff.(%)	$\overline{P_r(\%)}$
0.2 kpc, uniform	74.8	100.0(1)	74.8	0.0
1.0 kpc, uniform	46.5	46.8(1)	21.9	20.8
Galactic Center	0.0	97.5(2)	0.0	
Galaxy Dist.	1.5	84.6(2)	1.5	0.2

Table 2

The Various Scenarios' GW Detection Efficiencies (GW eff.), Neutronization Neutrino Detection Efficiencies (Neutrino Eff.) for (1) EGADS or (2) GADZOOKS!, Their Product, and the P_r Value for the 0.0π rad s⁻¹ Model

Table 3

and the second second

The Various Scenarios' GW Detection Efficiencies (GW eff.), Neutronization Neutrino Detection Efficiencies (Neutrino Eff.) for (1) EGADS or (2) GADZOOKS!, Their Product, and the P_r Value for the 1.0π rad s⁻¹ Model

GW Eff.(%)	Neutrino Eff.(%)	Detection Eff.(%)	$P_r(\%)$
88.0	100.0(1)	88.0	98.4
73.6	40.2(1)	29.5	80.0
21.5	94.8(2)	20.4	75.3
26.7	81.7(2)	24.7	76.2
	GW Eff.(%) 88.0 73.6 21.5 26.7	GW Eff.(%) Neutrino Eff.(%) 88.0 100.0(1) 73.6 40.2(1) 21.5 94.8(2) 26.7 81.7(2)	GW Eff.(%) Neutrino Eff.(%) Detection Eff.(%) 88.0 100.0(1) 88.0 73.6 40.2(1) 29.5 21.5 94.8(2) 20.4 26.7 81.7(2) 24.7

Observational Strategy for GW and Neutrino

Problems/Concerns:

- Event must be near !
 - Typical range of GW and Neutrino detector for SN is a few-several 100Mpc.
- Our galaxy might be optical thick.

Therefore, ...

- Keep duty time!
 - GW detectors may be key.
 - Never lose the chance of golden event !
- Inference of the direction by each detection is essential.
- We must develop the data <u>analysis that can be done with a single event</u>.

Advantages

- omni-directional
- 'time machine' like

In both detector cases, we can analyze past records of event triggers/signals.

- (In Japan) SK and KAGRA has less uncertainty of arrival time difference.

Key issues

- time series (time profile) of both GW and neutrino flux
- infer the SN direction



Personal question : How about IceCube for SN?



arXiv:1111.2731 (Proceedings of ICRC2011)

CBC (Compact Binary Coalescence)



-20ms

-15ms

-10ms

-5ms

time [sec]

0ms

5ms

CBC Waveform and Physics

(0									
	phase	Inspiral (a few~1000sec , depend on mass)	oiral 000sec , on mass) w		ringdown (~100msec)				
	waveform	Post-Newton approx. analytic waveform	Numerical re	lativity	Perturbation theory of BH space-time				
	frequency	(10Hz) ~ 1.5 kHz	a few kHz		Se	veral kHz			
	Physics	mass of stars, luminosity, arrival time (with multi-detectors, direction, polarization, phase, orbit inclination, distance)	Tidal effects, Viscosity, -> NS's E.O.S.	(In ca Hyper-r NS is fo EC hype	ase of massive ormed,) OS, eron,	BH mass BH spin Testing GR			

After merger of NS-NS, NS-BH



Metzger & Berger, ApJ 746:48(2012)

BH-BH Black Holes of Known Mass



Origin of Massive Black-holes ?

- **Stars as like our sun cannot form such heavy BH...**
 - Population III
 - stars?

Metal less stars that may be the 'first stars' of the universe

- Dynamical
 - formation ?

runaway merger of BHs

- Primordial BH?

BH formed directly at early universe





Interesting target for three reasons:

Nakano Talk

Inspiral and ringdown phases have roughly equal SNRs, so provides good test of GR

If population III stars (formed at redshifts 5-10) exist, these might be a substantial fraction.

Perhaps we will detect several of them in the first aLIGO data run O1, this September!



viewgraph edited by Bruce Allen : (Personal) summary of new, novel, and interesting results presented at this workshop at GWPAW2015 Osaka, June 2015 54



The detection rate of inspiral and quasi-normal modes of Population III binary black holes which can confirm or refute the general relativity in the strong gravity region

Tomoya Kinugawa,¹* Akinobu Miyamoto,² Nobuyuki Kanda² and Takashi Nakamura¹

¹Department of Physics, Graduate School of Science, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan ²Department of Physics, Graduate School of Science, Osaka City University, Osaka 558-8585, Japan

Accepted 2015 November 5. Received 2015 October 17; in original form 2015 May 26

ABSTRACT

Using our population synthesis code, we found that the typical chirp mass defined by $(m_1m_2)^{3/5}/(m_1+m_2)^{1/5}$ of Population III (Pop III) binary black holes (BH–BHs) is ~30 M $_{\odot}$ with the total mass of $\sim 60 \,\mathrm{M_{\odot}}$ so that the inspiral chirp signal as well as quasi-normal mode (QNM) of the merging black hole (BH) are interesting targets of KAGRA. The detection rate of the coalescing Pop III BH–BHs is ~180 events yr⁻¹ ($SFR_p/(10^{-2.5} \text{ M}_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-3}))([f_b/(10^{-2.5} \text{ M}_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-3})))$ $(+ f_b)$]/0.33)Err_{sys} in our standard model, where SFR_p, f_b and Err_{sys} are the peak value of the Pop III star formation rate, the binary fraction and the systematic error with $Err_{sys} = 1$ for our standard model, respectively. To evaluate the robustness of chirp mass distribution and the range of Err_{sys} , we examine the dependence of the results on the unknown parameters and the distribution functions in the population synthesis code. We found that the chirp mass has a peak at $\sim 30 \, M_{\odot}$ in most of parameters and distribution functions as well as Err_{svs} ranges from 0.046 to 4. Therefore, the detection rate of the coalescing Pop III BH-BHs ranges about 8.3-720 events $yr^{-1}(SFR_p/(10^{-2.5} M_{\odot} yr^{-1} Mpc^{-3}))([f_b/(1+f_b)]/0.33)$. The minimum rate corresponds to the worst model which we think unlikely so that unless $(SFR_p/(10^{-2.5} \text{ M}_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-3}))([f_b/(1+f_b)]/0.33) \ll 0.1$, we expect the Pop III BH–BHs merger rate of at least one event per year by KAGRA. Nakano, Tanaka & Nakamura show that if signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) of QNM is larger than 35, we can confirm or refute the general relativity (GR) more than 5σ level. In our standard model, the detection rate of Pop III BH– BHs whose S/N is larger than 35 is 3.2 events yr⁻¹ (SFR_p/(10^{-2.5} M_{\odot} yr⁻¹ Mpc⁻³))([$f_{\rm b}/(1 +$

Window as a function of binary masses

Example : LIGO O1

Frequency [112]

Detector opens window for particular mass ranges.

150

(In another word, this might be a '**bias**'...)





We need more more more events !!!

Multi-messenger observation

for deep understanding of GW sources. i.e. dynamical motion and mechanism, origin, etc.

GW observation itself still attractive for the study of fundamental physics.